

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/513,029	02/25/2000	Connie Blackburn	LUCENT-00401	7684
28960	7590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			ANWAH, OLISA	
162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, o.	2, 0.1 > 1000		2645	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
		09/513,029	BLACKBURN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Olisa Anwah	2645	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)∐	·-	This action is non-final.		
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
,	The specification is objected to by the Ex			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
	Applicant may not request that any objection	- ,		
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
	<i>w</i> >	•		
Attachmer	• •	4) T 1-1-a-ion	Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salimando, U.S. Patent No. 5,970,133 (hereinafter Salimando) in view of Tessler et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,289,090 (hereinafter Tessler).

Regarding claim 1, Salimando discloses an audible confirmation system (see Figure 1) in an Intelligent Network for allowing a calling party to audibly hear an audible name of a call recipient, the audible confirmation system comprising:

a database (40) configured for storing a plurality of text names wherein each of the plurality of text names is associated with a unique identifier;

a signal control point (col. 3, line 15) coupled to the database, the signal control point independent (see Figure 1) of

Art Unit: 2645

a call routing path and independent (see Figure 1) of a data path between the calling party and a text to speech converter (30), and configured to control the retrieval of a select one of the plurality of text names in response to a call recipient selected by the calling party;

the text to speech converter (30) coupled to the control point and configured to convert the selected one of the plurality of text names into the audible name.

With further respect to claim 1, Salimando fails to disclose the signal control point is independent of the database. However Tessler discloses this limitation (see col. 10, lines 5-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Salimando with a system wherein the signal control point is independent of the database as taught by Tessler. This modification would have improved the efficiency of Salimando by distributing the database as suggested by Tessler (column 10).

Regarding claim 2, see columns 3 and 4 of Salimando.

Regarding claim 3, see columns 3 and 4 of Salimando.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 4, Salimando discloses a method of allowing a calling party to audibly identify a call recipient (see Figure 2), the method comprising the following steps:

initiating a call from the calling party directed to an identifier belonging to the call recipient (110);

matching (116) the identifier to a text name corresponding to the recipient within a database by a signal control point (col. 3, line 15) independent (see Figure 1) of a call routing path and independent of a data path between the calling party and a text to speech converter (30);

retrieving the text name of the recipient from the database (118);

converting the text name of the call recipient to an audible name (120);

audibly playing the audible name of the call recipient to the calling party prior to connecting the call (124).

Regarding claim 5, see columns 3 and 4 of Salimando.

Regarding claim 6, see columns 3 and 4 of Salimando.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salimando combined with Tessler in further

Art Unit: 2645

view of Fahrer et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,078,655 (hereinafter Fahrer).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Salimando and Tessler does not disclose automatically redialing the call recipient if the call cannot be connected. However Fahrer discloses this limitation (col. 9, lines 5-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Salimando and Tessler with the redialing step taught by Fahrer. This modification would have modernized the Salimando-Tessler combination by allowing calls to be completed between a called party and a calling party as suggested by Salimando and Fahrer.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salimando combined with Tessler and Fahrer, in further view of Finnigan, U.S. Patent No. 6,650,737 (hereinafter Finnigan).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Salimando, Tessler and Fahrer does not disclose leaving the call recipient a prerecorded message from the calling party. However Finnigan discloses this limitation (col. 5, lines 25-30). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the

Art Unit: 2645

combination of Salimando, Tessler and Fahrer with the message taught by Finnigan. This modification would have improved convenience by allowing a calling party to contact a called party as suggested by Salimando, Tessler, Fahrer and Finnigan.

5. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finnigan in view of Salimando combined with Tessler.

Regarding claim 9, Finnigan discloses a method of allowing a calling party to audibly identify a call recipient (see abstract), wherein the method comprising pre-recording a voice message by the calling party directed toward an identifier belonging to the call recipient (col. 5, 25-30) and audibly playing the audible name of the recipient to the calling party (col. 2, lines 20-30).

Finnigan does not disclose matching the identifier to a text name corresponding to the call recipient by a signal control point independent of a call routing path and independent of a data path between the calling party and a text to speech converter, wherein the identifier and the text name are stored within the database and converting the text name of the call recipient to an audible name. However Salimando discloses these limitations (see Figures 1 and 2a). Therefore it would have been

Art Unit: 2645

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Finnigan with the matching and converting steps taught by Salimando. This modification would have improved the cumulative features of Finnigan by allowing for an announcement database that stores either text or audio data as suggested by Salimando.

The combination of Finnigan and Salimando fails to disclose the signal control point is independent of the database. However Tessler discloses this limitation (see col. 10, lines 5-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the combination of Finnigan and Salimando with a system wherein the signal control point is independent of the database as taught by Tessler. This modification would have improved efficiency by distributing the database as suggested by Tessler (column 10).

Regarding claim 10, see col. 2, lines 20-30 of Finnigan.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, see columns 3 and 4 of Salimando. Also see col. 2, lines 20-30 of Finnigan.

Regarding claim 13, see col. 7, lines 55-65 of Finnigan.

Also see columns 3 and 4 of Finnigan.

Regarding claim 14, see column 10 of Tessler.

Art Unit: 2645

Response to Amendment

Page 8

6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

O.A.

Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner October 18, 2004

FAN TSANG
SUPERMESS PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600